

regarding conditions or individuals at WVRJ are moot and must be denied as such. *Rendelman v. Rouse*, 569 F.3d 182, 186 (4th Cir. 2009) (“[A]s a general rule, a prisoner’s transfer or release from a particular prison moots his claims for injunctive . . . relief with respect to his incarceration there.”); *Incumaa v. Ozmint*, 507 F.3d 281, 287 (4th Cir. 2007) (“Once an inmate is removed from the environment in which he is subjected to the challenged policy or practice, absent a claim for damages, he no longer has a legally cognizable interest in a judicial decision on the merits of his claim.”).

For the stated reasons, it is **ORDERED** that Anders’s motion seeking interlocutory injunctive relief, ECF No. 2, is **DENIED** as moot.

ENTER: May 24, 2021

/s/ JAMES P. JONES

United States District Judge